

<https://doi.org/10.53656/phil2026-03s-08>

SUSTAINABLE AND SMART CITIES

From Space to System: When Architecture Ceases to Be Silent

Gichka Kutova-Kamenova
Ivana Kamenova

University of Architecture, Civil Engineering and Geodesy (UACEG), Sofia (Bulgaria)

Abstract. The provided text explores the anthropological and philosophical evolution of urban environments as they transition into **smart, sustainable systems**. While technological advancements like intelligent infrastructure and real-time data analysis enhance efficiency and safety, these systems fundamentally alter human psychology and social interactions. Consequently, the text highlights a **new responsibility for architecture** to act as a mediator that balances digital automation with human experience. Ultimately, the source advocates for future cities that prioritise the human dimension, ensuring that life remains more than just a sequence of pre-organised, efficient processes.

Keywords: smart and sustainable cities; intelligent urban systems; architecture; anthropological transformation

Introduction

Cities have never been merely physical spaces where people reside. They constitute a complex environment within which social relations, daily habits, and the human experience of life itself are forged. The way we move, interact, and perceive time and space is directly linked to the organization of the urban environment. In this sense, the city is never entirely neutral. It actively, albeit often imperceptibly, participates in shaping human behavior, the rhythm of life, and the manner in which society functions.

With rising urbanization and expectations that nearly 70% of the world's population will live in urban areas by 2050, the role of the city becomes even more significant. The contemporary city is no longer just a physical backdrop for human activity, but a complex system subjected to constant ecological, social, economic, and technological processes. It is precisely within this context that the concepts of sustainable and smart cities emerge, offering not just technical solutions but a holistic transformation of the way urban life is organized.

Sustainable cities, in alignment with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, emphasize the nexus between urbanization, ecological

responsibility, and social sustainability. Green infrastructure, renewable energy, intelligent transport systems, and resource optimization are no longer just technical tools, but components of a new model of relations between humans, architecture, and the natural environment.

Smart infrastructures and so-called *smart roads* represent a part of this transformation. Through monitoring systems, data analysis, and real-time communication, they allow for the continuous adaptation of urban processes – such as traffic, transportation, energy efficiency, and resource management. Roads are gradually ceasing to be mere physical infrastructure and are beginning to actively participate in the organization of the urban environment.

Yet, in parallel with technological advancement, a significantly deeper question arises. The smarter a city becomes, the more it begins to influence not only how society functions, but also how humans live, perceive space, and build relationships with the world around them. Technologies facilitate daily life, enhance security, and optimize urban processes, but simultaneously, they begin to gradually model behavior, habits, and the rhythm of human life. The city ceases to be just a place of habitation and increasingly transforms into an intelligent system that predicts, guides, and organizes human activity.

Thus, the contemporary smart city turns not only into a technological issue, but also into a philosophical one – a question regarding the boundary between efficiency and human experience, between automation and freedom, between the system and the individual.

2. Perspectives and Directions for Future Research

The development of smart cities can no longer be viewed solely as a technological transformation of infrastructure. It is gradually evolving into a transformation of the very way of life. Contemporary humans are beginning to live in an environment that continuously analyzes their actions, movements, habits, and daily needs. Through sensors, algorithms, digital platforms, and automated systems, the city begins to react in real time, progressively becoming an active participant in the organization of human life.

This shift creates a new type of relationship between the individual and the urban environment. The city is no longer merely a space that humans inhabit, but an intelligent system that begins to guide, predict, and organize human behavior. On one hand, this significantly facilitates daily life. Intelligent transport systems optimize commuting, automated infrastructures improve security, and digital technologies provide faster access to services, information, and resources.

On the other hand, however, these very systems gradually begin to affect the way people perceive time, space, and themselves. Constant connectivity and the continuous flow of information create a new psychological environment in which it becomes increasingly difficult for the individual to remain outside the

system. The city begins not only to ease life, but also to model its rhythm, social interactions, and the emotional experience of daily existence.

The more technology optimizes the urban environment, the greater the risk that the city will gradually lose its human unpredictability and natural rhythm. Humans begin to live in a space where a large portion of decisions is already pre-organized through algorithms, forecasting, and automated processes. Thus, convenience gradually transforms into a form of dependency on the system.

In this context, architecture acquires a new role. It is no longer just a means of creating a physical environment, but a mediator between humans and the intelligent systems that are beginning to organize daily life. Space no longer functions independently of information. Buildings, transport networks, and public spaces become part of a shared digital ecosystem that continuously reacts and adapts.

Future research on smart cities should be directed not only toward technological efficiency, but also toward the way these systems alter human life. The greatest transformation of smart cities is not solely infrastructural or technological. It is anthropological because the smart city gradually begins to reshape how humans live, think, interact, and perceive themselves.

A much deeper investigation is needed into the impact of intelligent systems on human psychology, social relations, and the emotional perception of the urban environment. Contemporary humans increasingly live under conditions of continuous monitoring, constant connectivity, and automated process management. This inevitably alters the sense of personal freedom, private space, and autonomy in daily life.

In the future, it will become increasingly vital to analyze how smart cities affect human habits, social communication, and people's ability to build an emotional connection with space. There is a risk that the urban environment will gradually turn into an overly optimized and predictable system, where efficiency begins to displace human experience.

Herein lies the fundamental philosophical question: to what extent does the smart city improve human life, and at what point does it begin to influence human nature itself? Because the urban environment does not merely affect how we move, it affects how we communicate, perceive time, experience space, and build a connection with the city itself.

This is precisely where architecture will play a pivotal role. It will have to not only integrate technologies but also create spaces that preserve human presence, social connectedness, and a sense of belonging. Future smart cities must do more than just function better. They must remain places where humans continue to live fully, not merely as parts of a system, but as individuals with their own emotions, choices, and unique experiences.

3. Philosophical Approach

There was a time when architecture was silent. Not because it lacked meaning, but because it did not need to explain itself. It existed as space, proportion, atmosphere, and materiality, and life naturally unfolded within its boundaries. Architecture created an environment, but it did not strive to organize human beings. Space left room for interpretation, for chance, and for individual experience.

Today, this situation is gradually changing. The contemporary smart city is not merely a physical structure. It functions through systems that measure, analyze, predict, and react. Space no longer exists autonomously; rather, it functions in a permanent nexus with information, algorithms, and systems of continuous management and adaptation. The city begins to exist simultaneously in two states – as a material environment and as an invisible network of data, communications, and automated processes. And gradually, it is precisely this invisible system that begins to dominate.

The logic of intelligent systems differs from the traditional logic of architecture. Architecture has always relied on a certain degree of openness. It created conditions for life, but it never fully dictated the manner in which that life would unfold. Systems, conversely, function through prediction, optimization, and control. They seek to reduce uncertainty, to make movement faster, resources more efficient, and behavior more predictable.

This undeniably brings significant benefits. Smart cities can reduce pollution, improve security, optimize transportation, and create a more sustainable environment. Yet, in parallel with this, a much deeper question arises: what happens to human experience when almost everything begins to be pre-organized?

The more the city reacts on our behalf, the less need there is for humans to choose, discover, and interpret space for themselves. The city gradually ceases to be solely an environment that facilitates human life and begins to actively participate in shaping human behavior, the rhythm of daily routines, and the way people perceive the space around them.

The mode of transit, the speed of daily life, social contacts, and even human reactions begin to adapt to the logic of the intelligent system. Constant optimization gradually alters the human perception of time, space, and freedom. Humans become accustomed to living in an environment where decisions are increasingly made in advance, and the city begins to anticipate their actions before they have even occurred.

Thus, the smart city ceases to be just a technological environment. It transforms into a factor that actively participates in shaping the way of life, behavior, and human psychology.

This is precisely where architecture can no longer remain neutral. In a world where intelligent systems participate in the management of daily life,

architecture begins to bear a new responsibility. It no longer designs form alone, but creates conditions that guide human behavior, define the way people interact with one another, and can simultaneously generate freedom and impose limitations.

This does not imply a rejection of technology. Intelligent systems are a necessary part of the future of sustainable cities. However, architecture must remain in balance between efficiency and human experience. Because a city can function perfectly and yet lose the sense of life.

True habitation requires something more than optimization. It requires space for chance, for emotion, for personal choice, and for human presence. Perhaps herein lies the new role of architecture – not pitted against systems, but within them; not as an instrument of control, but as a safeguard for human experience.

4. Conclusion

The development of smart and sustainable cities raises a question that extends far beyond technological advancement and the efficiency of infrastructural systems. The contemporary city is gradually transforming from a physical environment into an intelligent, interconnected system capable of analyzing, predicting, and organizing the processes of urban life in real time. This transformation alters not only the way the city functions, but also the way humans live, perceive space, and build relationships with the surrounding world.

Today, architecture is no longer merely a discipline of form and space. Within the context of smart cities, it becomes a mediator between humans, technology, and the systems that are beginning to actively influence daily life. Smart infrastructures, automated processes, and algorithmic management create a more efficient, safer, and more sustainable urban environment. Yet, simultaneously, they gradually reshape human behavior, modes of communication, the rhythm of life, and the very experience of the city.

The smarter a city becomes, the more vital the question of whether this environment leaves enough room for human presence, freedom, and an emotional connection with space. Because the city of the future will not only influence how we move, but it will also influence how we think, feel, interact, and perceive ourselves.

This is precisely where the true role of architecture emerges – not only to design intelligent spaces, but to preserve the human dimension within an increasingly automated and digitized environment.

A truly sustainable and smart city is not one that merely optimizes systems. It succeeds in safeguarding space for life.

REFERENCES

- Bojanić, P., & Vesnić, S. (Eds.). (2023). *Architecture “and” philosophy. KHÖREIN, 1(1)*.
- Gupta, A., Panagiotopoulos, P., & Bowen, F. (2023). Developing capabilities in smart city ecosystems: A multi-level approach. *Organization Studies, 44(10)*, 1703 – 1724.
- Jagatheesaperumal, S.K., Bibri, S.E., Huang, J. et al. (2024). Artificial intelligence of things for smart cities: advanced solutions for enhancing transportation safety. *Computational Urban Science, (4)*.
- Kamal, Ramy & Elsayed, Nesma & Gado, Nuhu. (2020). Smart Cities: Sustainable and Safety Roads Study Model. *EAI Endorsed Transactions on Smart Cities*. European Alliance for Innovation.
- Nikhil, T. R. (2022). Post implementation studies of intelligent transport system for smart city: A review. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Technology, 8(8)*, 407 – 413.
- Pires FM, Mendes L de S, Quiñonez LL. (2019). Integrated system architecture for decision-making and urban planning in smart cities. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks, 15(8)*.
- Sharifi, A., Allam, Z., Bibri, S. E., & Khavarian-Garmsir, A. R. (2024). Smart cities and sustainable development goals (SDGs): A systematic literature review of co-benefits and trade-offs. *Cities*.
- Trubia, S., Severino, A., Curto, S., Arena, F., & Pau, G. (2020). Smart Roads: An Overview of What Future Mobility Will Look Like. *Infrastructures, 5(12)*.
- White, L., & Burger, K. (2022). Understanding Frameworking for Smart and Sustainable City Development: A configurational approach. *Organization Studies, 44*, 1603 – 1624.

✉ **Dr. Arch. Gichka Kutova-Kamenova, Assoc. Prof.**

✉ **Ivana Kamenova, student**

Architectural Faculty

University of Architecture, Civil Engineering and Geodesy (UECEG)

Sofia, Bulgaria